UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,206	09/29/2003	Mathilde Benveniste	AVA04-03	1603
	7590 05/29/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
WESTBOROU	GH OFFICE PARK	NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
WESTBOROU	ARK DRIVE, SUITE 2 GH, MA 01581	80	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1	Application No		Applicant(s)			
			10/674,206		BENVENISTE, MATHILDE			
Office Action Summary		F	Examiner		Art Unit			
		E	BRIAN D. NGUʻ	YEN	2416			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum signer to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136( munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS C  (a). In no event, how  apply and will expire  ause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be times SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 Sen	tember 2003					
·	•		ction is non-fir	nal				
′=		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			·				
		application						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election require	ement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/674,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The applicant is requested to provide serial numbers for related applications mentioned on pages 1 and 7.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Method claims 1-20 are not tied to a particular apparatus.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yew et al (2003/0108059) in view of Cudak et al (6,058,106).

Regarding claim 1, Yew discloses a method comprising: populating a first field (424 in figure 20B) of a traffic specification with a function of a temporal period (minimum polling interval), wherein the temporal period is for a plurality of expected future transmissions and

transmitting a polling request with the traffic specification (paragraph 0010). Yew does not specifically disclose a temporal offset and populating a second field (425 in figure 20B) of the traffic specification with the value of the first field. However, Cudak discloses a temporal offset (frame offset) (see col. 37, lines 5-8) and populating the second field (425 maximum polling interval) with the value of the first field (424 minimum polling interval) is obvious because the maximum polling interval as disclosed by Yew can be greater than or equal to the minimum polling interval. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a temporal offset as taught by Cudak and populate the second field with the same value as the first field in order to meet the design criteria of a particular implementation.

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Yew discloses generating a frame; receiving a poll; and transmitting the frame in response to the poll (paragraph 0085).

Regarding claim 6, claim 6 has substantially the same limitation as claim 1 except that the first and second fields are populated with at least one of the temporal period and the temporal offset. The value of the second field can be equal to the value of the first field. Therefore, claim 6 is rejected for the same reason as claim 1.

Regarding claims 11 and 15, claims 11 and 15 are reverse process of claims 1 and 6, respectively. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Yew discloses establishing a polling schedule and transmitting a poll to the sender of the polling request in accordance with the polling schedule (paragraph 0085).

Application/Control Number: 10/674,206 Page 4

Art Unit: 2416

6. Claims 2-4, 7-8, 12-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yew in view of Cudak as applied to claims 1, 6, 11, and 15 above above, and further in view of He et al (2004/0105412).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 7, 12, 14, and 16, Yew discloses the system is a wireless system but does not specifically disclose the polling is performed on an IEEE 802.11 wireless system. However, He discloses polling in IEEE 802.11 (paragraph 0006). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use IEEE 802.11 standard as taught by He in the system of Yew in order to meet the design criteria of a particular implementation.

Regarding claims 3, 8, 13, and 17 Yew discloses the first field is a Minimum Service Interval field and the second field is a Maximum Service Interval field (see fields 424 and 425 in figure 20B).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN D. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 2416

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/26/09 /Brian D Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416